<u>ADDENDUM NO. 2</u>

TO: ALL BIDDERS OF RECORD

PROJECT: French Creek Fish Barrier Project

FWP Contract #17-12

DATE: 08/15/17

FROM: J.D. Emmert, Pioneer Technical Services

Acknowledge receipt of this addendum by inserting its number and date in the Proposal Form and on the Bid Envelope. Failure to do so may subject bidder to disqualification.

This Addendum forms a part of the Contract Documents. Clarification and/or responses to questions are below:

Ouestions/Clarifications from Pre-Bid Meeting:

- 1. CLARIFICATION: Per Section 01010 General Procedures, Part 1.09, site access is from the north through a gate off of Big Hole Road approximately 1 mile upstream of the site. It was discussed during the pre-bid meeting that at the end of the project the Contractor will need a plan to exit the site from the upstream side before the upstream side fills with water. Contractors can talk to the downstream landowner about leaving through private property, or other access requests, but it is the Contractors responsibility to obtain that permission or plan accordingly?
- 2. CLARIFICATION: FWP is currently developing an alternative to the Type A seed mix provided in the Technical Specifications. This revised seed mix will be provided to the contractor after project award and a change order will be issued. The revised seed mix is anticipated to be similar in cost to the seed mix currently provided in the Project Specifications and to not affect bid prices. This will be coordinated with the contractor after project award.
- **3. QUESTION**: Can a different product be used for placing rock anchors than the Hilti HIT-RE 500 identified in the Technical Specifications?

Response: Per Section 03300 – Cast-in-Place Concrete, Part 2.03.G the resin shall be Hilti HIT-RE 500 Epoxy or Engineered approved equivalent. Engineer will review submittals of alternative products to determine if they qualify as "approved equivalent".

4. **QUESTION**: Can the Contractor camp at the site during construction?

Response: Yes, the Contractor can camp at the site during construction but should communicate with FWP to ensure there are no concerns or restrictions (i.e. fire bans) during the time of construction.

5. QUESTION: What if there is not enough rock onsite or the rock onsite does not meet the project specifications for riprap? Will a change order be issued? Will the bid opening be extended to allow more time to evaluate the onsite material?

Response: Per Section 02270 – Stream Channels and Diversions, Part 3.01.A, "it is the responsibility of the Contractor to verify that the existing rock onsite meets the project specifications. The Contractor must submit to FWP and receive approval for use from FWP before using onsite materials. If onsite material does not meet the project specifications then Contractor shall be responsible for locating suitable sources of Class II and Class III riprap." If onsite material is not suitable for use a change order to the contract will not be issued. Also, at this time, there are no plans to extend the bid opening date. During the pre-bid walkthrough some contractors expressed concern that there may not be enough large material onsite to meet the project specifications. If necessary, it would be acceptable to mix onsite material with imported material to reduce import costs as long as the mixed material meets project specifications. It would still be the responsibility of the Contractor to verify that the mixed material meets project specifications.